Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 2021, 15, 185–205 https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2019-0104 © 2021 Human Kinetics, Inc.



A Program to Reduce Stigma Toward Mental Illness and Promote Mental Health Literacy and Help-Seeking in National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Student-Athletes

Graig M. Chow

Florida State University and University of California

Matthew D. Bird University of Lincoln

Nicole T. Gabana

Florida State University and University of Massachusetts Athletics

Brandon T. Cooper and Martin A. Swanbrow Becker

Florida State University

Student-athletes are susceptible to mental health problems that disrupt optimal functioning and well-being. Despite having many protective factors, studentathletes represent an at-risk subgroup of college students who experience mental health concerns due to the distress of balancing multiple obligations. However, many student-athletes underutilize psychological services. Stigma is the main barrier preventing student-athletes from seeking help, and mental health literacy (MHL) interventions addressing knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders have traditionally been used to destigmatize mental illness. This study investigated the impact of a 4-week program on stigma, MHL, and attitudes and intentions toward seeking help with 33 National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I student-athletes. The program was composed of four science-based interventions—MHL, empathy, counter stereotyping, and contact—delivered face-to-face within a group setting. MHL, attitudes toward seeking help, and intentions to seek counseling improved from preintervention to postintervention and to 1-month follow-up. Self-stigma was reduced from preintervention to postintervention.

Keywords: destignatize, evaluation, intervention

Chow, Gabana, Cooper, and Swanbrow Becker are with the Department of Educational Psychology and Learning Systems, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA. Chow is also with Cameron Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. Bird is with the School of Sport and Exercise Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, United Kingdom. Gabana is also with University of Massachusetts Athletics, Amherst, MA, USA. Chow (gchow@fsu.edu) is corresponding author.

The prevalence of mental illness and distress among student-athletes is comparable to, and for some disorders, greater than, that of the general population (Engwell, Hunter, & Steinberg, 2004; Wolanin, Hong, Marks, Panchoo, & Gross, 2016). Student-athletes are often at an increased risk for experiencing anxiety, depression, disordered eating, substance abuse, and adjustment problems (e.g., transition, injury; Wieland, Chow, & Bird, 2018). Due to mental health problems, collegiate athletes experience a multitude of adverse consequences that impact academic, athletic, and social functioning. Despite needing treatment for mental health issues, only 10% of student-athletes who experience anxiety and depression seek professional help compared with 30% of nonathlete students (Eisenberg, 2014). Stigma has been implicated as the main barrier to studentathletes seeking mental health care (Gulliver, Griffiths, & Christensen, 2012; Moreland, Coxe, & Yang, 2018); thus, interventions designed to reduce stigma toward mental illness are especially needed.

Stigma Toward Mental Illness and Professional Help-Seeking

Stigmatization means that there is a socially driven label (e.g., "not normal") associated with people who seek psychological help (Smith, 2007). This is particularly emphasized in the athletic setting (Leimer, Leon, & Shelley, 2014), as student-athletes fear that they will be perceived as "mentally weak" if others find out they are in treatment, preventing many from receiving timely and appropriate help (DeLenardo & Terrion, 2014; Lopez & Levy, 2013). Stigma accounts for 66% of the variance in mental health help-seeking attitudes in student-athletes (Wahto, Swift, & Whipple, 2016). There are three types of stigma. Perceived public stigma (i.e., stigmatization by others) is an individual's perception regarding stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination held by the public toward people with mental illness (Corrigan, 2004). Self-stigma reflects the internalization of public stigma by incorporating others' stereotypes and prejudices about people with mental illness into beliefs about oneself (Vogel, Wade, & Haake, 2006). Personal stigma represents an individual's personal attitudes toward people with mental illness (Griffiths, Christensen, Jorm, Evans, & Groves, 2004). In sport, perceived public stigma can reflect a student-athlete's concern that coaches or teammates will view them negatively (e.g., mentally weak) for seeking psychological help (Lopez & Levy, 2013). Athletes may also fear negative consequences from others, such as doubts about their ability to perform, loss of playing time, and discrimination (DeLanardo & Terrion, 2014). Student-athletes may internalize their perceptions of others' beliefs about mental illness, creating feelings of inadequacy or inferiority (i.e., self-stigma) that reduce their likelihood of seeking campus resources (Leimer et al., 2014).

Stigma toward mental illness and help-seeking can be explicit or implicit. Explicit stigma is more conscious and controllable, while implicit stigma reflects more automatic, subconscious beliefs (Greenwald & Banaji, 1995). Implicit measures, such as Implicit Association Tasks (IAT; Greenwald, McGhee, & Schwartz, 1998), have the ability to capture underlying attitudes and beliefs (e.g., personal stigma) beyond explicit measures. Recent studies with college

Stigma and Mental Health

students, the general public, and student-athletes most commonly use explicit measures to assess stigma toward mental illness, which draw upon self-report questionnaires or interviews (e.g., DeLenardo & Terrion, 2014; Leimer et al., 2014; Wahto et al., 2016). Yet responses on explicit measures of personal stigma (i.e., one's attitude toward people with mental illness) may be influenced by demand characteristics, social desirability bias, dishonest reporting, or poor selfawareness of one's own attitudes or beliefs (Monteith & Pettit, 2011). A metaanalysis found weak correlations between measures of implicit and explicit stigma (Hofmann, Gawronski, Gschwendner, Le, & Schmitt, 2005), suggesting that stigma is a complex construct that requires careful assessment. While the need for utilization of both implicit and explicit measures of stigma has been emphasized (Monteith & Pettit, 2011), to our knowledge, this method has not been employed in studies examining mental illness stigma among athletes. For example, studentathletes may be reluctant to endorse items that measure explicit personal stigma, such as "mental illness is a sign of personal weakness," even if they strongly agree with such a statement. Implicit measures of personal stigma, however, can detect underlying biases that student-athletes hold toward people with mental illness that they are hesitant to admit or lack awareness of.

Attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help impact one's intentions to seek counseling (Hammer, Parent, & Spiker, 2018), and both are key contributors to mental health service utilization (Moreland et al., 2018). When investigating relationships among stigma, attitudes toward counseling, intentions to seek help, and help-seeking behavior in college students, it has been shown that perceived public stigma is positively related to self-stigma, that self-stigma is negatively related to counseling attitudes, and that counseling attitudes are positively related to a willingness to seek help (Vogel, Wade, & Hackler, 2007). Research on actual help-seeking behavior for mental health issues is difficult to conduct; however, the reasoned action approach (Fishbein & Ajzen, 2010) proposes that actual help-seeking behavior is best predicted from an individual's intention to seek help. Furthermore, and highly relevant to the current study, an individual's intention to seek help is predicted by their attitudes about seeking help, as well as barriers (e.g., stigma) and facilitators (e.g., literacy) of help-seeking.

Destigmatization Interventions

Mental health literacy (MHL) represents an individual's "knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders which aid their recognition, management or prevention" (Jorm et al., 1997, p. 184). It generally consists of knowledge about the prevalence, signs, and symptoms of specific mental disorders, risk factors and causes of mental illness, self-help interventions, available professional help resources, how to seek mental health information, and attitudes that facilitate recognition and appropriate help-seeking. Sport-specific awareness programs have been developed to address mental health knowledge and stigma in athletes, predominantly focusing on MHL (Breslin, Shannon, Haughey, Donnelly, & Leavey, 2017). MHL interventions with athletes have been somewhat successful in improving mental health knowledge and confidence to help someone with a mental disorder (Bapat, Jorm, & Lawrence,

2009; Gulliver, Griffiths, Christensen, Mackinnon, et al., 2012). However, stigma and help-seeking outcomes (e.g., attitudes, intentions) are more difficult to change, as evidenced by low effect sizes. While education about mental disorders is an important step toward destigmatizing mental illness in student-athletes, if used alone, it may be insufficient for decreasing stigma and improving attitudes and intentions to seek help. Targeted, structured, and systematic programs are needed to change the culture of mental health on college campuses. In addition to MHL, there are several promising intervention strategies designed to reduce stigma that have been used with nonathlete populations, such as perspective-taking to enhance empathy (Galinsky & Moskowitz, 2000), counter stereotyping (Devine, Forscher, Austin, & Cox, 2012), and contact (Clement et al., 2012).

Empathy is the capacity to share and understand others' internal states, which allows us to connect with one another. Empathy-building interventions focus on either enhancing individuals' ability to *experience* empathy (i.e., experience based) or express empathy to others (i.e., expression based; Weisz & Zaki, 2017). There are three intertwined and interactive subcomponents of empathy: mentalizing (ability to draw inferences about another person's thoughts and feelings), experience sharing (vicariously experiencing another person's emotional state), and empathetic concern (desire to alleviate another person's distress; Zaki & Oschsner, 2012). Interventions that target one or more of these subcomponents can increase individuals' empathy toward stigmatized groups. Experience-based empathy interventions using perspective-taking often involve imagining oneself as a stigmatized member of an outgroup—such as those who are prejudiced against or those who are mentally ill-and have been shown to reduce stigma toward members of these groups by facilitating a deeper understanding of their thoughts and feelings (Devine et al., 2012). According to Galinsky and Moskowitz (2000), perspective-taking improves when one has endured the same "slings and arrows as the targeted person" (p. 709). Expression-based empathy interventions teach individuals to recognize another person's internal state and respond appropriately (Weisz & Zaki, 2017). Such interventions focus on enhancing one's empathic displays, which helps communicate to the target that the perceiver understands and shares their suffering. Empathy-relational skills training and watching videos of difficult interactions are typically used in expression-based empathy interventions to improve emotion recognition and empathic responding (e.g., Riess, Kelley, Bailey, Dunn, & Phillips, 2012). Importantly, in order to effectively express empathy, one must first experience empathy; thus, experience- and expressionbased interventions should be used in conjunction.

Counter stereotyping is an idea or image that is in opposition to a prejudiced or oversimplified stereotype that is commonly held by members of a group. There are multiple stereotypes associated with mental illness and those who have mental disorders (Byrne, 2000; Townsend, 1979). Such stereotypes create an "us versus them" mentality toward people with mental illness, thus, perpetuating and intensifying their stigmatization (Byrne, 2000). One intervention is to educate individuals on the stereotypes of mental illness and provide them with counter stereotypes or information that is the opposite of the cultural stereotypes associated with mental illness (Byrne, 2000; Gocłowska & Crisp, 2013). By teaching accurate information to prevent stereotypic inferences, individuals adopt an informed mindset with greater cognitive flexibility. Providing counter stereotypes helps

Stigma and Mental Health

individuals break from old schemas and create new schemas surrounding those they have previously stigmatized (Byrne, 2000; Gocłowska & Crisp, 2013). Counter stereotyping has been used to combat and reduce stereotypes, stigma, prejudice, and racial bias (Devine et al., 2012; Gocłowska & Crisp, 2013).

Contact strategies involve using direct or indirect interactions with people who have a mental illness to challenge prejudice. This strategy is based on the contact hypothesis (Allport, Clark, & Pettigrew, 1954), which posits that interpersonal contact with a person from a stigmatized group can reduce stigma and increase empathy toward that group. Video-based contact interventions have gained interest from researchers in recent years, as this type of contact delivery improves dissemination and is more cost effective (Clement et al., 2012). Video-based contact interventions have been shown to reduce mental illness stigma in health care students and professionals (Stubbs, 2014). Furthermore, a recent metaanalysis showed this type of intervention as an effective way of reducing mental illness stigma in adolescents and adults (Corrigan, Morris, Michaels, Rafacz, & Rusch, 2012). Video-based contact interventions highlighting former studentathletes' struggles with mental illness have been used in conjunction with educational materials to target mental health knowledge and attitudes in a sample of Division I collegiate athletes (Kern et al., 2017). The results from this study indicate that the combined contact- and education-based intervention improved knowledge about depression, increased one's likelihood to seek help for a personal problem, and decreased stigma. Regarding stigma, the participants were more willing to accept someone who had received mental health treatment as a close friend.

Purpose and Hypotheses

Reducing stigmatization to promote help-seeking attitudes and intentions among collegiate student-athletes is important for treating the prevalence of mental illness among this population (Gulliver, Griffiths, & Christensen, 2012; Moreland et al., 2018). The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of a 4-week program designed to reduce stigma toward mental illness, enhance MHL, and improve helpseeking attitudes and intentions among National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) Division I student-athletes. We also examined the extent to which mental health experience influenced the impact of the program on these outcomes, because previous research has found that having prior education, previously receiving mental health treatment, or currently receiving mental health treatment are associated with stigma (Busby Grant, Bruce, & Batterham, 2016; Griffiths, Christensen, & Jorm, 2008; Gulliver, Griffiths, Christensen, Mackinnon, et al., 2012). This was the first project to incorporate four evidenced-based stigma reduction interventions (i.e., MHL, empathy, counter stereotyping, and contact) into a comprehensive program with student-athletes. This study addresses several limitations and gaps in the literature, including an overreliance on education-based interventions; a lack of psychometrically valid outcome measures; the failure to report effect sizes for outcomes, which limits clinically meaningful interpretations; and the lack of a follow-up assessment to determine the sustained benefits of interventions (Breslin et al., 2017), as well as failing to measure implicit stigma

(Monteith & Pettit, 2011). Based on previous research (e.g., Bapat et al., 2009; Devine et al., 2012; Gocłowska & Crisp, 2013; Gulliver, Griffiths, Christensen, Mackinnon, et al., 2012; Kern et al., 2017; Weisz & Zaki, 2017), we hypothesized that self, personal, perceived public, and implicit stigma would decrease from preintervention to postintervention and from preintervention to 1-month follow-up, and that the decreases at postintervention would not diminish at 1-month follow-up. We also hypothesized that MHL, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling would increase from preintervention to postintervention and from preintervention to 1-month follow-up, and that the increases at postintervention would not diminish at 1-month follow-up.

Method

Participants

An a priori power analysis was conducted using G*Power (Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany; Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007) for a two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with three measurement timepoints, $\alpha = .05$, power $(1 - \beta) = 0.8$, and effect size f of 0.25. The power analysis results revealed a minimum sample size of 28 participants. The participants included 33 student-athletes (males = 13, females = 20) from a single NCAA Division I institution. A majority of the participants were freshmen (n = 15), but sophomore (n = 11), junior (n = 2), senior (n = 4), and graduate-level (n = 1) students were also represented. The participants reported a mean age of 19.2 (SD = 1.45) years and identified as White (n = 23), Black (n = 3), Hispanic (n=3), and mixed (n=4). The student-athletes represented various teams, including swimming (n = 14), cross-country (n = 5), softball (n = 6), track and field (n = 4), football (n = 2), baseball (n = 1), and soccer (n = 1). A total of 13 participants (39.4%) had previously received treatment from a mental health professional, two participants (6.1%) were currently receiving treatment, and five participants (15.2%) had previously received formal education or training about mental illness in student-athletes. A mental health experience variable was created by combining each participant's responses to these three items (i.e., previously received treatment, currently receiving treatment, previously received formal education or training): 16 (48.5%) had mental health experience, and 17 (51.5%) did not. Twenty-three (69.7%) student-athletes attended all four sessions (7 attended 3 sessions, 3 attended 2 sessions).

Measures

Demographic information. The demographic questionnaire asked the participants to report their age, gender, year in school, and sport team. Additional items asked the participants if they had ever received treatment from a mental health professional, if they were currently receiving treatment from a mental health professional, and if they had received any previous formal education or training about mental health issues in student-athletes.

Mental health literacy. The MHL Scale (MHLS; O'Connor & Casey, 2015) is a unidimensional questionnaire with 35 items representing all attributes of MHL,

outlined by Jorm et al. (1997). These attributes include disorder recognition, knowledge of how to seek mental health information, knowledge of risk factors and causes, knowledge of self-treatments, knowledge of professional help available, and attitudes that promote recognition and appropriate help-seeking. Scores can range from 35 to 160, with higher scores indicating greater levels of MHL. Internal consistency reliability with college students has been reported as $\alpha = .84$ (Gorczynski, Sims-Schouten, Hill, & Wilson, 2017). Internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .82$ (pre), $\alpha = .92$ (post), and $\alpha = .91$ (follow-up).

Personal and perceived public stigma. A modified version of the Depression Stigma Scale (Griffiths et al., 2004) was used to measure personal and perceived public stigma toward mental illness. The personal stigma subscale contains nine items measuring participants' own attitudes toward people with mental illness (e.g., "Depression is a sign of personal weakness"). In the modified version, the word "depression" was changed to "mental illness" in all items (e.g., "Mental illness is a sign of personal weakness"). The perceived public stigma subscale measured the participants' beliefs regarding the attitudes and beliefs that other people hold toward those with mental illness. This subscale also contains nine items, and the modified version changed the word "depression" to "mental illness" in all items (e.g., "Most people believe that mental illness is a sign of personal weakness"). For both personal and perceived public stigma, participants respond to items on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). Scores on personal and perceived public stigma can range from 0 to 36, with higher scores representing stronger levels of personal and perceived public stigma, respectively. Internal consistency reliability for the personal stigma subscale was $\alpha = .76$ in an adult sample (Griffiths et al., 2004). Internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .80$ (pre), $\alpha = .90$ (post), and $\alpha = .88$ (follow-up). Internal consistency reliability for the perceived public stigma subscale was $\alpha = .82$ in a sample of adults (Griffiths et al., 2004). Internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .86$ (pre), $\alpha = .88$ (post), and $\alpha = .91$ (follow-up).

Self-stigma. The Self-Stigma of Seeking Help Scale (Vogel et al., 2006) is a unidimensional, 10-item questionnaire. Items are rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*), with higher scores representing greater self-stigma toward help seeking. An example item is "If I went to a therapist, I would be less satisfied with myself." The internal consistency reliability of the Self-Stigma of Seeking Help Scale was $\alpha = .86$ to $\alpha = .90$ with college students (Vogel et al., 2006). The internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .79$ (pre), $\alpha = .78$ (post), and $\alpha = .84$ (follow-up).

Attitudes toward counseling. The Attitudes Toward Seeking Professional Psychological Help Scale–Short Form (Fischer & Farina, 1995) is a unidimensional 10-item scale: five items measure positive attitudes toward help-seeking (e.g., "If I believed I was having a mental breakdown, my first intention would be to get professional attention") and five measure negative attitudes (e.g., "A person should work out his or her own problems; getting psychological counseling would be a last resort"). Items are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 (*disagree*) to 3 (*agree*). The total scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores representing more positive attitudes toward seeking psychological help. The internal consistency

reliability was $\alpha = .84$ among college students (Fisher & Farina, 1995). The internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .75$ (pre), $\alpha = .87$ (post), and $\alpha = .71$ (follow-up).

Intentions to seek counseling. The Interpersonal Concerns subscale of the Intentions to Seek Counseling Inventory (Cash, Bagley, McCown, & Weise, 1975) is unidimensional, composed of 10 items. Participants rate the likelihood that they would seek counseling if they were experiencing various problems (e.g., depression) on a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (very unlikely) to 4 (very likely). Scores can range from 10-40, with higher scores representing stronger intentions to seek counseling. Vogel et al. (2007) reported an internal consistency reliability of $\alpha = .87$ with undergraduate students. The internal consistency reliability for the current study was $\alpha = .86$ (pre), $\alpha = .92$ (post), and $\alpha = .87$ (follow-up).

Implicit stigma. Utilizing software by Meade (2009), an IAT measured implicit stigma toward mental illness. During the IAT, participants respond to a series of items that are to be classified into four categories. Two categories represent a concept of discrimination (mentally ill people vs. physically ill people), and two represent an attribute of discrimination (dangerous vs. harmless). Participants are instructed to respond rapidly with a right-hand key press for items representing one concept and one attribute (e.g., mentally ill and dangerous) and with a left-hand key press for items from the remaining two categories (e.g., physically ill and harmless). This procedure is performed for a second task, in which the key assignments for one of the pairs is switched (e.g., mentally ill and harmless, physically ill and dangerous). The IAT software produces a measure (GNB [Greenwald, Nosek, Banaji] score) derived from latencies of responses to these two tasks according to scoring developed by Greenwald, Nosek, and Banaji (2003). This measure is interpreted in terms of association strength by assuming that subjects respond more rapidly when the concept and attribute mapped onto the same response are strongly associated (e.g., physically ill and harmless) than when they are weakly associated (e.g., mentally ill and dangerous). Higher scores reflect greater stigmatization toward mental illness, with 0.15 = slight, 0.35 = moderate, and 0.65 = strong (Greenwald et al., 2003).

Intervention

The program was conducted within a group setting (Group 1 = 16, Group 2 = 17), consisted of four sessions (each session was 60 min), and was delivered in person by two co-interventionists (G.M. Chow and N.T. Gabana) with substantial applied and research experience in athlete mental health. G.M. Chow completed a 2-year postdoctoral fellowship in clinical psychology that focused on developing, implementing, and evaluating mental health prevention and intervention programs with student-athletes, as well as conducting one-on-one mental health sessions with student-athletes under a licensed psychologist. G.M. Chow has also published over a dozen peer-reviewed journal articles on student-athlete and college student mental health. N.T. Gabana has a PhD in counseling psychology, with a minor in sport psychology. She has 9 years' experience of individual and group mental health counseling and performance consultation with adults, college students, student-athletes, and teams. Her research focuses on athlete mental health and well-being, and she has published a dozen peer-reviewed journal articles on the topic. The intervention sessions were based on the current literature and were designed to be engaging by incorporating psychoeducation, group discussion, experiential activities, reflection, video, modeling, and training.

Session 1: MHL. This component focused on educating and creating awareness about the mental health issues that are most relevant to student-athletes. Areas included the mental health continuum, ranging from thriving and resilience to severe functional impairment; causes and consequences of mental disorders; prevalence rates, signs, and symptoms of mental disorders; barriers to helpseeking; ways to manage mental health and resources available on campus; and general tips on how to help a teammate who might be experiencing a mental health issue. Mental disorders with the highest prevalence rates in student-athletes were emphasized, including anxiety (e.g., generalized anxiety disorder, social anxiety disorder, panic disorder), depression (e.g., major depressive disorder, dysthymia), disordered eating (e.g., anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge eating disorder), stressor-related disorders (e.g., adjustment disorder, acute stress disorder), and substance use. For each area, we first posed a question to the participants to stimulate discussion (e.g., What are some of the causes of mental disorders?) and then proceeded by presenting information, reinforcing accurate responses, and elaborating on content that they did not mention. The session concluded with a group debrief (e.g., What parts of today's session stand out for you the most? What was most surprising?).

Session 2: Empathy. This component focused on targeting empathy in two ways: perspective-taking to experience empathy toward a person with a mental health concern and how to express empathy toward a person with a mental health concern. For perspective-taking, the participants listened to a 10-min script about a studentathlete who struggled with mental health problems, experienced stigma, and sought professional help. While listening to the script, the participants were prompted to take the perspective of the student-athlete in the story by paying attention to their internal thoughts and feelings. A worksheet was provided for the participants to individually take notes (e.g., How do you think this student-athlete was feeling?), followed by a group debrief. The worksheets were collected after the group debrief, and all participants were found to have fully completed it. The second half of this session focused on how to provide empathy, including characteristics of empathy (e.g., connecting with the emotion that someone is experiencing) and empathy skills (e.g., reflecting feeling, validating). To complement this information, a video was shown that discusses the components of empathy and how empathy is different than sympathy. Furthermore, the participants learned how to have a difficult conversation with a teammate or peer who might be experiencing a mental health concern. To this end, the participants first watched and processed (group debrief) a video showing a student with a mental health issue interacting with her roommate, who has recently noticed some signs and symptoms and is in a helper role. Next, the co-interventionists modeled a similar conversation, with one interventionist playing the helper and the other playing a distressed student-athlete. Finally, the participants were provided the opportunity via role play to practice being in a helper role to utilize empathy skills. A group debrief followed the role-play activity (e.g., What was it like to be the helper?).

Session 3: Counter stereotyping. In this component, the participants were exposed to information that contradicts common stereotypes, myths, and misconceptions about mental illness in student-athletes. The participants were divided into small groups for this session. For each stereotype/misconception, (a) a statement about mental health was presented, (b) in small groups, the participants discussed whether the statement was true or false and were instructed to provide a reason to support their answer, (c) answers and reasons were shared as a large group, and (d) the interventionists presented content relevant to the statement, particularly information that was counter to the statement. Eight statements were used for this activity, including "Only athletes in aesthetic and lean sports have eating disorders" and "Athletes who are struggling with mental health concerns are not mentally tough." In addition to these eight statements, the session concluded by presenting other common stereotypes, such as "People with mental illness can snap out of it" and "Mental illness is not a real medical problem." The session concluded with a group debrief (e.g., How do stereotypes about mental illness impact help-seeking?).

Session 4: Contact. This component involved a video depicting a former student-athlete's struggle with mental illness throughout her collegiate and professional career. A 1-hr documentary film told the story of Chamique Holdsclaw's experience with mental illness, including her obstacles, their impact on her functioning, the help-seeking process, and her persistence in the face of challenges. A worksheet was distributed for participants to take notes during the video. The session concluded with a group debrief (e.g., How has the film changed your thinking about mental health and mental illness? How did shame about her illness affect Chamique's ability to seek out and get help at different points in her journey?).

Procedure

Following Florida State University institutional review board approval, participant recruitment, informed consent, and preassessment data collection was initiated. A variety of recruitment methods were used, including announcing the study at athletics department events, e-mailing coaches, posting and distributing flyers, sending an e-mail to all student-athletes, and snowball sampling. The study design involved a pre-, post-, and 1-month follow-up assessment. Informed consent and all assessments were performed by a research assistant, one-on-one with each participant. At the preassessment, the participants completed (a) a demographic questionnaire, (b) all paper and pencil study measures (presented in random order), and (c) the IAT. The postassessment included the same measures as the preassessment (excluding the demographic questionnaire) and a Program Evaluation adapted from the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8; Attkisson & Greenfield, 1994), in which the participants rated the overall effectiveness of the program from 1 (ineffective) to 5 (effective), the quality of the program from 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent), and satisfaction with the program from 1 (quite dissatisfied) to 4 (very satisfied). A follow-up assessment was administered 1-month after the postassessment.

The two interventionists were joined by a research assistant for organizational purposes. The program was composed of weekly 1-hr sessions over four consecutive weeks occurring on the same day and time each week. Each session followed a detailed timeline and protocol to ensure standardization and included

Stigma and Mental Health

195

a PowerPoint and worksheets (if applicable). At the end of each session, the participants completed a session evaluation, which included an item assessing the overall effectiveness of the session from 1 (*ineffective*) to 5 (*very effective*). The participants were incentivized by food and beverages at each session and a \$50 gift card following the completion of the study after the 1-month follow-up assessment was completed.

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the seven primary variables of interest (MHL, self-stigma, personal stigma, perceived public stigma, implicit stigma, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling) at the preintervention, postintervention, and 1-month follow-up. In addition, descriptive statistics were calculated for the 3-item program evaluation and for the 1-item effectiveness rating of each session. A multivariate ANOVA (MANOVA) was conducted to examine baseline differences between Group 1 (n=16) and Group 2 (n=17) on the seven primary variables of interest. In addition, a MANOVA was conducted to examine baseline differences between those who had mental health experience (n = 16) and those who did not (n = 17) on the seven primary variables of interest.

Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA (RM-ANOVAs) tests between those who had mental health experience and those who did not were conducted for MHL, self-stigma, personal stigma, perceived public stigma, implicit stigma, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling using the preintervention, postintervention, and 1-month follow-up data. The effect size, using η_p^2 , was determined as small (.01), medium (.09), and large (.25).

Results

Preliminary Analysis

A MANOVA revealed no significant baseline differences between Groups 1 and 2 on the seven primary variables of interest, Wilks's $\lambda = 0.71$, F(7, 25) = 1.45, p = .23. The lack of differences between groups provided justification to combine Groups 1 and 2 for primary analysis. A MANOVA revealed no significant baseline differences between those who had mental health experience and those who did not on the seven primary variables of interest, Wilks's $\lambda = 0.66$, F(7, 25) = 1.85, p = .12.

Primary Analysis

Table 1 presents the means and SDs for the preintervention, postintervention, and 1-month follow-up outcome measures.

Mental health literacy. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 2.03, p = .14, $\eta_p^2 = .06$. However, the time effect revealed that the mean scores for MHL differed statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 20.14, p < .0001, $\eta_p^2 = .39$ (large effect size). Post hoc tests using the Bonferroni correction revealed a statistically significant increase in MHL from preintervention to postintervention (p < .0001) and from

Table 1 Pre, Post, and 1-Month Follow-Up Outcome Data (N = 33)

Measure	Preprogram	Postprogram	Follow-up
Mental health literacy	123.36 (11.12)	133.45 (14.66)*	130.97 (15.08)*****
Self-stigma of seeking help	25.42 (5.28)	22.33 (5.49)*	23.06 (5.63)***
Personal stigma	9.45 (5.06)	7.91 (6.54)	7.79 (5.37)
Implicit stigma	0.10 (0.34)	0.15 (0.39)	0.14 (0.35)
Perceived public stigma	20.36 (6.47)	23.03 (6.26)	20.73 (7.84)
Attitudes toward seeking help	27.45 (4.58)	30.21 (5.07)*	29.33 (4.17)*****
Intentions to seek counseling	21.76 (5.28)	25.33 (7.09)*	24.27 (5.99)*****

Note. Values are presented as mean (SD). Mental health literacy can range from 35 to 160, where higher scores indicate greater literacy; self-stigma of seeking help from 10 to 50, personal stigma and perceived public stigma from 0 to 36, where higher scores indicate more stigmatizing attitudes toward mental illness; implicit stigma from -2 to 2, where higher scores indicate more implicit stigmatizing attitudes toward mental illness; and attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help and intentions to seek counseling from 10 to 40, where higher scores indicate more favorable attitudes and stronger intentions, respectively.

*Statistically significant change from preintervention to postintervention. **Statistically significant change from preintervention to 1-month follow-up. ***Nonstatistically significant change from postintervention to 1-month follow-up, thus indicating that the improvement made from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at follow-up.

preintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .001). Importantly, the increase in MHL from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at the 1-month follow-up, as evidenced by the nonstatistically significant change from postintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .16).

Self-stigma of seeking help. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 1.07, p = .35, $\eta_p^2 = .03$. However, the time effect revealed that the mean scores for self-stigma differed statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 6.98, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .18$ (medium to large effect size). The post hoc tests using the Bonferroni correction revealed a statistically significant decrease in self-stigma from preintervention to postintervention (p = .003), and the decrease from preintervention to the 1-month follow-up approached statistical significance (p = .06). Importantly, the decrease in selfstigma from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at the 1-month follow-up, as evidenced by the nonstatistically significant change from postintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .99).

Personal stigma. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 0.49, p = .62, $\eta_p^2 = .02$. The time effect revealed that the mean scores for personal stigma did not differ statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 2.10, p = .13, $\eta_p^2 = .06$.

Public stigma. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 0.43, p = .66, $\eta_p^2 = .01$. The time effect revealed that the mean scores for public stigma did not differ statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 2.21, p = .12, $\eta_p^2 = .07$.

Implicit stigma. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 1.28, p = .29, $\eta_p^2 = .04$. The time effect revealed that the mean scores for implicit stigma did not differ statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 0.40, p = .67, $\eta_p^2 = .01$.

Attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time \times Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 0.44, p = .65, $\eta_p^2 = .01$. However, the time effect revealed that the mean scores for attitudes toward seeking help differed statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 9.30, p < .0001, $\eta_p^2 = .23$ (medium to large effect size). The post hoc tests using the Bonferroni correction revealed a statistically significant increase in attitudes toward seeking help from preintervention to postintervention (p = .002) and from preintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .02). The increase in attitudes toward seeking help from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at the 1-month follow-up, as evidenced by the nonstatistically significant change from postintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .44).

Intentions to seeking counseling. A two-way RM-ANOVA revealed a nonsignificant Time × Group interaction, F(2, 62) = 0.39, p = .68, $\eta_p^2 = .01$. However, the time effect revealed that the mean scores for intentions to seek counseling differed statistically significantly between time points, F(2, 62) = 5.58, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .15$ (medium to large effect size). The post hoc tests using the Bonferroni correction revealed a statistically significant increase from preintervention to postintervention (p = .02) and from preintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .02). The increase in intentions to seek counseling from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at the 1-month follow-up, as evidenced by the nonstatistically significant change from postintervention to the 1-month follow-up (p = .99).

Program evaluation. At the conclusion of the program, the student-athletes rated the overall effectiveness of the program from 1 (ineffective) to 5 (effective), the quality of the program from 1 (poor) to 4 (excellent), and their satisfaction with the program from 1 (quite dissatisfied) to 4 (very satisfied). The results revealed an overall effectiveness (M = 4.55, SD = 0.56), quality (M = 3.79,SD = 0.42), and satisfaction (M = 3.73, SD = 0.52). Overall, 97% of the studentathletes rated the program as effective to very effective, 100% rated the quality of the program as good to excellent, and 97% reported that they were mostly to very satisfied with the program.

Session evaluation. Attendance for Session 1 was n = 32, Session 2 was n = 30, Session 3 was n = 27, and Session 4 was n = 30. At the conclusion of each intervention session, the student-athletes rated the overall effectiveness of the session from 1 (ineffective) to 5 (very effective). The results revealed Session 1, MHL (M = 4.25, SD = 0.67); Session 2, empathy (M = 4.62, SD = 0.56); Session 3, counter stereotyping (M = 4.41, SD = 0.69); and Session 4, contact (M = 4.73,SD = 0.52). The student-athletes who reported each session as effective to very effective was as follows: Session 1 = 87.5%, Session 2 = 96.5%, Session 3 = 96.2%, and Session 4 = 96.7%.

Discussion

This program was the first to incorporate four evidenced-based destigmatization interventions into a single program with the aim of reducing stigma toward mental

illness, promoting MHL, and improving help-seeking attitudes and intentions among collegiate student-athletes. As hypothesized, MHL, self-stigma, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling improved from preintervention to postintervention. In addition, MHL, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling improved from preintervention to the 1-month follow-up, and the improvements made from preintervention to postintervention did not diminish at the 1-month follow-up. Importantly, the positive impact of the program was not influenced by mental health experience of the participants, which means that the improvements in MHL, self-stigma, attitudes toward seeking help, and intentions to seek counseling were similar between those who had mental health experience (i.e., previously received treatment, currently receiving treatment, previously received formal education or training) and those who did not.

The program was successful in enhancing student-athletes' MHL. This finding is important due to a lack of MHL being identified as a barrier to help-seeking among 16- to 23-year-old elite athletes (Gulliver, Griffiths, & Christensen, 2012). Research examining programs that target MHL in athletic populations has found increases in knowledge of mental disorders and improvements in attitudes toward those with mental illness (Bapat et al., 2009; Kern et al., 2017). Our findings are consistent with Kern et al. (2017), who found that a 1-hr, in-person contact- and education-based group intervention with NCAA Division I athletes resulted in increased knowledge toward depression from preintervention to postintervention, and Bapat et al. (2009), who found that a three-session program improved knowledge about mental disorders in a mixture of youth club coaches and leaders. Since neither study administered a follow-up assessment, our findings add to the literature by demonstrating not only strong improvements in MHL from preintervention to postintervention, but also MHL scores at the 1-month follow-up that were significantly higher than the preintervention scores.

A meta-analysis revealed that MHL programs were most successful when they were structured, tailored to specific populations (e.g., athletes), incorporated activities and experiential learning, and delivered evidence-based content (Brijnath, Protheroe, Mahtani, & Antoniades, 2016). Since our program met all these criteria, it is likely that the combination of the four empirically supported interventions impacted MHL. Session 1 was specifically geared toward MHL and directly targeted various aspects of the MHL measure, including disorder recognition, how to seek mental health information, risk factors and causes, self-treatments, professional help resources, and attitudes that promote recognition and appropriate help-seeking. The subsequent three sessions also included components that likely contributed to increased MHL. For example, experiencing (via perspective-taking) and expressing empathy exposed participants to specific information regarding professional help available and targeted attitudes that promote recognition and appropriate help-seeking. Disseminating information to debunk common myths and stereotypes about mental illness in the counter stereotyping session (e.g., "Only athletes in aesthetic and lean sports have eating disorders") likely increased disorder recognition and knowledge of risk factors and causes. Finally, the video documentary in the contact session contained a substantial amount of information about mental illness and treatment.

Stigma and Mental Health

Self-stigma has rarely been examined as an outcome in destigmatization intervention research with athletes and nonathletes (Griffiths, Carron-Arthur, Parsons, & Reid, 2014), which is surprising, considering that it is the most consistent predictor of mental health help-seeking (Clement et al., 2015). Interventions designed to reduce self-stigma have commonly employed cognitive or cognitive behavioral therapy, but have not found significant effects (Griffiths et al., 2014). In an unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ackerman (2011) found that a single-session psychoeducational workshop reduced self-stigma toward seeking psychological help from preintervention to postintervention, but not from preintervention to a 6-week follow-up. Our findings were similar, such that there was a reduction in self-stigma from preintervention to postintervention and the reduction from postintervention to the 1-month follow-up approached statistical significance. Self-stigma reflects feelings of inadequacy, embarrassment, and inferiority if one were to seek or receive professional psychological help. Through the program, these feelings were likely reduced through normalizing by highlighting the prevalence rates of mental disorders and that student-athletes seek help for a multitude of issues, perspectivetaking to better understand the internal thoughts and feelings of a person who is struggling with mental health issues, combating negative stereotypes that athletes with mental health concerns lack mental toughness, and by hearing a story about an elite professional athlete whose shame initially prevented her from seeking the help she needed. In general, our finding that self-stigma was decreased from the program is consistent with research showing that the combination of instruction, discussion, and contact produces greater stigma reduction toward mental illness than formal lectures (Corrigan et al., 2012).

The results revealed that the program greatly improved attitudes toward seeking and receiving professional psychological help and the likelihood of seeking counseling for personal or emotional problems. This is notable because attitudes toward counseling positively predict intentions to seek help (Vogel et al., 2007), while help-seeking intentions have been acknowledged as one of the strongest predictors of help-seeking behavior (Fishbein & Ajzen, 2010). Improvements in attitudes and intentions may have resulted from the reduction of self-stigma (Vogel et al., 2007) and increase in MHL (Taylor-Rodgers & Batterham, 2014). Prior research has produced mixed results regarding the extent to which interventions can improve attitudes and intentions toward seeking psychological help in studentathletes and college students. Various 2-week web-based interventions, including MHL and destigmatization conditions, failed to improve mental health help-seeking attitudes and intentions in athletes (Gulliver, Griffiths, Christensen, Mackinnon, et al., 2012). Kern et al. (2017) found that a combined contact- and education-based intervention increased the likelihood of seeking help for a mental health problem with NCAA Division I student-athletes, with a small to medium effect size (Kern et al., 2017). Kosyluk et al. (2016) found that both 20-min contact- and educationbased interventions delivered in-person within a group setting improved attitudes toward treatment-seeking and intentions to seek treatment from formal sources among college students. Both Kern et al. (2017) and Kosyluk et al. (2016) found small effect sizes, and neither included a follow-up assessment.

Our findings suggest that in-depth, multi-week programs that are interactive and incorporate experiential learning and substantial group processing can be beneficial in improving attitudes and intentions toward seeking professional help. The MHL

session targeted attitudes and intentions by creating awareness that people seek help for a variety of emotional and personal problems (not just for severe mental illness) and that mental health issues can impact academic, athletic, and social functioning. The perspective-taking activity in the empathy session highlighted how seeking help and receiving treatment alleviates mental illness symptoms and improves well-being. The counter stereotyping session reinforced that talking about one's mental health concerns with a professional is beneficial and that avoidance strategies negatively impact well-being in the long term. This session also combated stereotypes that prevent help-seeking, such as that people with mental illness can snap out it and that athletes with mental illness can solve it on their own. The contact session presented an example of a college and professional athlete whose story demonstrated the benefits of counseling to help solidify more positive attitudes and intentions toward seeking and receiving professional treatment.

This was the first study to investigate implicit stigma toward mental illness with athletes. We found that the program had no impact on implicit stigma. This is likely due to the very low preintervention scores on this measure (M = 0.10, SD = 0.34) reflecting *slight* stigmatization. Few participants (n = 8, 24.2%) had implicit stigma scores between 0.35 (moderate) and 0.65 (strong). Previous research with nonathlete populations have found differences between explicit (i.e., self-report) and implicit (i.e., IAT) stigma, with a weak correlation between measures (Hofmann et al., 2005; Monteith & Pettit, 2011). We found a similar pattern at preintervention between implicit stigma and explicit measures of self-(r = -.08), personal (r = -.02), and perceived public (r = .09) stigma. Future studies with athletes should measure both explicit and implicit stigma, as they clearly capture different aspects of stigmatization.

Neither personal nor perceived public stigma significantly decreased from the program, although the effect sizes were small to medium. Griffiths et al. (2004) found that 5-week web-based depression literacy and cognitive-behavioral interventions reduced personal stigma among 525 adults who screened positive for depression, although the effects were small. Kern et al. (2017) found that a 1-hr combined contact- and education-based intervention reduced personal stigma toward a close friend (small effect size; d = 0.20), but not toward a teammate among 626 NCAA Division I student-athletes. A meta-analysis found that interventions targeting personal stigma yielded significant yet small reductions (Griffiths et al., 2014). Personal stigma is clearly difficult to change, so longer and more targeted interventions may be needed, such as prolonged in-person contact with someone who has mental illness in conjunction with the interventions used in the current study (Griffiths et al., 2014). As for perceived public stigma, our results are consistent with previous research that found no effect (e.g., Kosyluk et al., 2016). Interventions that incorporate cognitive-behavioral skills training appear to be efficacious in reducing perceived public stigma (Griffiths et al., 2004) or perhaps directly targeting an individual's belief about how others view people with mental illness (Kosyluk et al., 2016).

While the current study has several strengths, there are some limitations that should be noted. First, no control group was used, which prevents drawing definitive conclusions about the efficacy of the program. It is unknown how student-athletes who participated in our program compare with student-athletes who receive nothing or an alternative destigmatization or mental health-related

intervention (i.e., treatment as usual) on the primary outcomes. While not a substitute for a control group, we aimed to improve the rigor of the study by examining the extent to which mental health experience influenced the impact of the program on the primary outcomes, which has not been done in previous destigmatization intervention research with athletes. The magnitude of the effect sizes for MHL, self-stigma, and attitudes and intentions toward seeking professional help, irrespective of whether the student-athlete had mental health experience prior to entering the program, are certainly encouraging. Self-selection bias may also be a possible limitation of the study. Although we used multiple recruitment strategies, student-athletes who volunteered to participate in the study may have been more interested in and committed to learning about mental health issues that impact student-athletes. Future research should consider targeting those with higher stigma for intervention, as these are likely the student-athletes most in need of programming. Lastly, the participants were from a single NCAA Division I institution, which limits the generalizability of the findings. Despite the program being designed in a way to ease dissemination to other athletics departments, a larger sample with student-athletes from a variety of institutions and division levels is necessary to demonstrate the effectiveness of the program. Lastly, future implementation of the program may be improved by including between-session exercises so that participants can continue to practice and learn the material.

In conclusion, our program represents a promising psychoeducational and experiential training resource to promote a culture that supports the mental health and well-being of student-athletes. The findings suggest that the program has positive implications for future help-seeking behavior, as shown by the reduction in self-stigma and improvements in MHL, attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help, and intentions to seek counseling, irrespective of whether the student-athlete had mental health experience prior to entering the program. Furthermore, program evaluation results revealed high ratings for overall effectiveness, quality, and satisfaction, while session evaluations demonstrated that the athlete participants found all four sessions to be effective. Ultimately, the findings from this study contribute new insights on best practices for reducing stigma and increasing help-seeking attitudes and intentions among student-athletes, a population known to be one of the most susceptible to mental illness, yet also one of the most resistant to psychological services.

Acknowledgments

Research for this project was conducted with the support of the NCAA. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NCAA.

References

Ackerman, S.R. (2011). Attitudes toward help-seeking and mental health among college athletes: Impact of a psycho-educational workshop (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Seton Hall University, New Jersey.

Allport, G.W., Clark, K., & Pettigrew, T. (1954). The nature of prejudice. Oxford, UK: Addison Wesley.

- Attkisson, C.C., & Greenfield, T.K. (1994). Client Satisfaction Questionnaire-8 and Service Satisfaction Scale-30. In M.E. Maruish (Ed.), The use of psychological testing for treatment planning and outcome assessment (pp. 402–420). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Bapat, S., Jorm, A., & Lawrence, K. (2009). Evaluation of a mental health literacy training program for junior sporting clubs. Australasian Psychiatry, 17(6), 475-479. PubMed ID: 20001370 doi:10.1080/10398560902964586
- Breslin, G., Shannon, S., Haughey, T., Donnelly, P., & Leavey, G. (2017). A systematic review of interventions to increase awareness of mental health and well-being in athletes, coaches and officials. Systematic Reviews, 6(1), 177. PubMed ID: 28859666 doi:10.1186/s13643-017-0568-6
- Brijnath, B., Protheroe, J., Mahtani, K.R., & Antoniades, J. (2016). Do web-based mental health literacy interventions improve the mental health literacy of adult consumers? Results from a systematic review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18(6), e165. PubMed ID: 27323907 doi:10.2196/jmir.5463
- Busby Grant, J., Bruce, C.P., & Batterham, P.J. (2016). Predictors of personal, perceived and self-stigma towards anxiety and depression. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences, 25(3), 247–254. PubMed ID: 25791089 doi:10.1017/S2045796015000220
- Byrne, P. (2000). Stigma of mental illness and ways of diminishing it. Advances in Psychiatric Treatment, 6(1), 65-72. doi:10.1192/apt.6.1.65
- Cash, T.F., Begley, P.J., McCown, D.A., & Weise, B.C. (1975). When counselors are heard but not seen: Initial impact of physical attractiveness. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 22(4), 273–279. doi:10.1037/h0076730
- Clement, S., Schauman, O., Graham, T., Maggioni, F., Evans-Lacko, S., Bezborodovs, N., ... Thornicroft, G. (2015). What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on helpseeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. Psychological Medicine, 45(1), 11–27. PubMed ID: 24569086 doi:10.1017/S0033291714000129
- Clement, S., van Nieuwenhuizen, A., Kassam, A., Flach, C., Lazarus, A., de Castro, M., . . . Thornicroft, G. (2012). Filmed v. live social contact interventions to reduce stigma: Randomised controlled trial. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 201(1), 57–64. doi:10. 1192/bjp.bp.111.093120
- Corrigan, P. (2004). How stigma interferes with mental health care. American Psychologist, 59(7), 614–625. PubMed ID: 15491256 doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.7.614
- Corrigan, P.W., Morris, S.B., Michaels, P.J., Rafacz, J.D., & Rüsch, N. (2012). Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. Psychiatric Services, 63(10), 963–973. PubMed ID: 23032675 doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201100529
- DeLenardo, S., & Terrion, J.L. (2014). Suck it up: Opinions and attitudes about mental illness stigma and help-seeking behaviour of male varsity football players. Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, 33(3), 43-56. doi:10.7870/cjcmh-2014-023
- Devine, P.G., Forscher, P.S., Austin, A.J., & Cox, W.T. (2012). Long-term reduction in implicit race bias: A prejudice habit-breaking intervention. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 48(6), 1267–1278. PubMed ID: 23524616 doi:10.1016/j.jesp. 2012.06.003
- Eisenberg, D. (2014). Developing and evaluating a model program for supporting the mental health of student athletes. Retrieved from http://athletesconnected.umich.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2017/02/2014-NCAA-Final-Report-main-narrative.pdf
- Engwell, D., Hunter, R., & Steinberg, M. (2004). Gambling and other risk behaviors on university campuses. Journal of American College Health, 52(6), 245-255. doi:10. 3200/JACH.52.6.245-256
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior Research Methods, 39(2), 175–191. PubMed ID: 17695343 doi:10.3758/BF03193146

- Fischer, E.H., & Farina, A. (1995). Attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help: A shortened form and considerations for research. Journal of College Student Development, 36(4), 368-373.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (2010). Predicting and changing behavior: The reasoned action approach. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Galinsky, A.D., & Moskowitz, G.B. (2000). Perspective-taking: Decreasing stereotype expression, stereotype accessibility, and in-group favoritism. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78(4), 708-724. PubMed ID: 10794375 doi:10.1037/0022-3514.78.4.708
- Gocłowska, M.A., & Crisp, R.J. (2013). On counter-stereotypes and creative cognition: When interventions for reducing prejudice can boost divergent thinking. Thinking Skills and Creativity, 8, 72–79. doi:10.1016/j.tsc.2012.07.001
- Gorczynski, P., Sims-Schouten, W., Hill, D., & Wilson, J.C. (2017). Examining mental health literacy, help seeking behaviours, and mental health outcomes in UK university students. The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice, 12(2), 111-120. doi:10.1108/JMHTEP-05-2016-0027
- Greenwald, A.G., & Banaji, M.R. (1995). Implicit social cognition: Attitudes, selfesteem, and stereotypes. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 102(1),
- Greenwald, A.G., McGhee, D.E., & Schwartz, J.L. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The Implicit Association Test (IAT). Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74(6), 1464-1480. PubMed ID: 9654756 doi:10.1037/0022-3514.74.6.1464
- Greenwald, A.G., Nosek, B.A., & Banaji, M.R. (2003). Understanding and using the implicit association test: I. An improved scoring algorithm. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85(2), 197–216. PubMed ID: 12916565 doi:10.1037/0022-3514. 85.2.197
- Griffiths, K.M., Carron-Arthur, B., Parsons, A., & Reid, R. (2014). Effectiveness of programs for reducing the stigma associated with mental disorders. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. World Psychiatry, 13(2), 161-175. PubMed ID: 24890069 doi:10.1002/wps.20129
- Griffiths, K.M., Christensen, H., & Jorm, A.F. (2008). Predictors of depression stigma. BMC Psychiatry, 8(1), 25. PubMed ID: 18423003 doi:10.1186/1471-244X-8-25
- Griffiths, K.M., Christensen, H., Jorm, A.F., Evans, K., & Groves, C. (2004). Effect of webbased depression literacy and cognitive-behavioural therapy interventions on stigmatising attitudes to depression. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 185(4), 342–349. PubMed ID: 15458995 doi:10.1192/bjp.185.4.342
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K.M., & Christensen, H. (2012). Barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking for young elite athletes: A qualitative study. BMC Psychiatry, 12(1), 157-170. doi:10.1186/1471-244X-12-157
- Gulliver, A., Griffiths, K.M., Christensen, H., Mackinnon, A., Calear, A.L., Parsons, A., ... Stanimirovic, R. (2012). Internet-based interventions to promote mental health helpseeking in elite athletes: An exploratory randomized controlled trial. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 14(3), e69. doi:10.2196/jmir.1864
- Hammer, J.H., Parent, M.C., & Spiker, D.A. (2018). Mental Help Seeking Attitudes Scale (MHSAS): Development, reliability, validity, and comparison with the ATSSPH-SF and IASMHS-PO. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 65(1), 74–85. PubMed ID: 29355346 doi:10.1037/cou0000248
- Hofmann, W., Gawronski, B., Gschwendner, T., Le, H., & Schmitt, M. (2005). A metaanalysis on the correlation between the implicit association test and explicit self-report measures. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 31(10), 1369-1385. PubMed ID: 16143669 doi:10.1177/0146167205275613

- Jorm, A.F., Korten, A.E., Jacomb, P.A., Christensen, H., Rodgers, B., & Pollitt, P. (1997). 'Mental health literacy': A survey of the public's ability to recognise mental disorders and their beliefs about the effectiveness of treatment. Medical Journal of Australia, 166(4), 182–186. PubMed ID: 9066546 doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.1997.tb140071.x
- Kern, A., Heininger, W., Klueh, E., Salazar, S., Hansen, B., Meyer, T., & Eisenberg, D. (2017). Athletes connected: Results from a pilot project to address knowledge and attitudes about mental health among college student-athletes. Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 11(4), 324–336. doi:10.1123/JCSP.2016-0028
- Kosyluk, K.A., Al-Khouja, M., Bink, A., Buchholz, B., Ellefson, S., Fokuo, K., ... Powell, K. (2016). Challenging the stigma of mental illness among college students. Journal of Adolescent Health, 59(3), 325–331. PubMed ID: 27324577 doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth. 2016.05.005
- Leimer, A.D., Leon, R.A., & Shelley, K. (2014). Stigmas and stereotypes: Counseling services for student-athletes. Journal for the Study of Sports and Athletes in Education, 8(2), 121–135. doi:10.1179/1935739714Z.000000000022
- Lopez, R.L., & Levy, J.J. (2013). Student athletes' perceived barriers to and preferences for seeking counseling. Journal of College Counseling, 16(1), 19–31.
- Meade, A.W. (2009). FreeIAT: An open-source program to administer the Implicit Association Test. Applied Psychological Measurement, 33(8), 643.10.1177/ 0146621608327803
- Monteith, L.L., & Pettit, J.W. (2011). Implicit and explicit stigmatizing attitudes and stereotypes about depression. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 30(5), 484– 505. doi:10.1521/jscp.2011.30.5.484
- Moreland, J.J., Coxe, K.A., & Yang, J. (2018). Collegiate athletes' mental health services utilization: A systematic review of conceptualizations, operationalizations, facilitators, and barriers. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 7(1), 58-69. PubMed ID: 30356496 doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2017.04.009
- O'Connor, M., & Casey, L. (2015). The Mental Health Literacy Scale (MHLS): A new scale-based measure of mental health literacy. Psychiatry Research, 229(1-2), 511-516. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2015.05.064
- Riess, H., Kelley, J.M., Bailey, R.W., Dunn, E.J., & Phillips, M. (2012). Empathy training for resident physicians: A randomized controlled trial of a neuroscience-informed curriculum. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 27(10), 1280–1286. PubMed ID: 22549298 doi:10.1007/s11606-012-2063-z
- Smith, R.A. (2007). Language of the lost: An explication of stigma communication. Communication Theory, 17(4), 462–485. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2885.2007.00307.x
- Stubbs, A. (2014). Reducing mental illness stigma in health care students and professionals: A review of the literature. Australasian Psychiatry, 22(6), 579-584. PubMed ID: 25371444 doi:10.1177/1039856214556324
- Taylor-Rodgers, E., & Batterham, P.J. (2014). Evaluation of an online psychoeducation intervention to promote mental health help seeking attitudes and intentions among young adults: Randomised controlled trial. Journal of Affective Disorders, 168, 65–71. PubMed ID: 25038293 doi:10.1016/j.jad.2014.06.047
- Townsend, J. (1979). Stereotypes of mental illness: A comparison with ethnic stereotypes. Culture, Medicine and Psychiatry, 3(3), 205-229. doi:10.1007/BF00114611
- Vogel, D.L., Wade, N.G., & Haake, S. (2006). Measuring the self-stigma associated with seeking psychological help. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 53(3), 325–337. doi: 10.1037/0022-0167.53.3.325
- Vogel, D.L., Wade, N.G., & Hackler, A.H. (2007). Perceived public stigma and the willingness to seek counseling: The mediating roles of self-stigma and attitudes toward counseling. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 54(1), 40-50. doi:10.1037/0022-0167.54.1.40

Stigma and Mental Health

- Wahto, R.S., Swift, J.K., & Whipple, J.L. (2016). The role of stigma and referral source in predicting college student-athletes' attitudes toward psychological help-seeking. Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 10(2), 85-98. doi:10.1123/JCSP.2015-0025
- Weisz, E., & Zaki, J. (2017). Empathy-building interventions: A review of existing work and suggestions for future directions. In E.M. Seppala, E. Simon-Thomas, S.L. Brown, M.C. Worline, C.D. Cameron, & J.R. Doty (Eds.), The Oxford handbook of compassion science (pp. 205–217). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Wieland, A., Chow, G.M., & Bird, M.D. (2018). Clinical issues in sport, exercise & performance: A whole-person approach to helping athletes & individuals succeed in sport and life. In A. Mugford & G. Cremades (Eds.), Sport, exercise, and performance psychology: Theories and applications (pp. 153-174). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Wolanin, A., Hong, E., Marks, D., Panchoo, K., & Gross, M. (2016). Prevalence of clinically elevated depressive symptoms in college athletes and differences by gender and sport. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(3), 167–171. PubMed ID: 26782764 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095756
- Zaki, J., & Ochsner, K. (2012). The neuroscience of empathy: Progress, pitfalls and promise. Nature Neuroscience, 15(5), 675–680. PubMed ID: 22504346 doi:10.1038/nn.3085